2nd one-day symposium of the Animal Task Force & the EAAP Commission on Livestock Farming Systems

State of the art in Research and Innovation – Nutrition and Supplements

John Newbold

Jamie Newbold

SRUC, UK

The rumen

Bacteria
~300 species
10^{10} to 10^{11} cells/ml

Anaerobic Fungi
~30 species
<10^{5} cells/ml

Ciliate Protozoa
~40 species
<10^{5} cells/ml

Methanogenic Archaea
~6 species
10^{6} to 10^{8} cells/ml



Methane production a microbially driven process to remove hydrogen



Relationship between cellulolytic bacteria and methanogens



Morvan et al. (1996)

Unlikely to be a single silver bullet

Farm management

Improved fertility

Improved Nutrition

Improved health

Feed additives

Improved genetics

Agro forestry

Soil carbon

Total GHG emissions on two mixed sheep/cattle farms
(kg CO_2 e /ha/year)

	Farm 1	Farm 2
	Mean (Range)	**Mean (Range)**
Total	**1215**	**3091**
	(368- 3726)	(789 – 9305)

Farm 1 - Intensive lowland
Farm 2 - Organic extensive

(Edwards-Jones et al., 2009)

Unlikely to be a single silver bullet



Effect of inhibiting methane in a long term rumen simulation









- Reduce H^+ production
- Provide alternative H^+ sinks
- Inhibition of methanogens



Methanogenesis associated with protozoa (%)

Methods of methane mitigation:



Decrease H_2 production

CH_4 production	PF	F	s.e.m.	*P*
L per day	26.0	35.2	2.82	0.049
L per kg LW	0.52	0.71	0.044	0.024
L per kg DMI	21.6	29.0	1.41	0.006

PF: protozoa-free lambs; F: faunated lambs.
LW: liveweight; DMI: dry matter intake

Saponins v protozoa



saponin

sapogenin



Ivan et al. (2004)

Modified saponins







Ramos-Morales E, de la Fuente G, Duval S, Wehrli C, Bouillon M, Lahmann M, Preskett D, Braganca R, Newbold CJ.
Antiprotozoal Effect of Saponins in the Rumen Can Be Enhanced by Chemical Modifications in Their Structure. Front Microbiol. 2017 Mar 16;8:399.



- Reduce H^+ production
- Provide alternative H^+ sinks
- Inhibition of methanogens

Nitrate

Calcium Nitrate : $NO_3^- + H_2 \rightarrow NO_2^- + H_2$

$NO_2^- + 3H_2 + 2H^+ \rightarrow NH_4^- + 2H_2O$

- nitrate decreases methane by **0.21g** (±0.035SE; P<0.001) per g nitrate

Animal type (beef cattle, dairy cattle or sheep)
Feeding management (fixed or ad lib)



Veneman et al., 2016



- Reduce H^+ production
- Provide alternative H^+ sinks
- Inhibition of methanogens

SRUC





Inhibition of methanogens

Alkaloids from daffodils to manipulate the rumen

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 6 June 2018

Accepted: 31 December 2018

Published online: 21 February 2019

Slight changes in the chemical structure of haemanthamine greatly influence the effect of the derivatives on rumen fermentation *in vitro*

Eva Ramos-Morales[1], Jamie Tibble-Howlings[2], Laura Lyons[3], Magnus O. Ogbu[2], Patrick J. Murphy[2], Radek Braganca[2] & Charles James Newbold[1]

Although the potential of plants extracts to improve feed efficiency and animal productivity and decrease methane emissions by enteric fermentation has been shown, the information available is often contradictory which has been attributed to differences in the complex mixture of bioactive compounds and their interactions. Understanding the degree to which structural features in a compound may affect the biological activity of an extract is essential. We hypothesised that relative small variations in the structure of a compound can have a significant influence on the ability of the derivatives to alter fermentation in the rumen. Nine compounds were synthetized from the natural alkaloid haemanthamine and tested *in vitro* for their effects on rumen protozoa and fermentation parameters. Our results showed that simple esterifications of haemanthamine or its derivative dihydrohaemanthamine with acetate, butyrate, pivalate or hexanoate led to compounds that differed in their effects on rumen fermentation.

3-nitrooxypropanol





3-NOP binds to the active site of the Methyl-coenzyme reductase

Anuraga Jayanegara, Ki Ageng Sarwono, Makoto Kondo, Hiroki Matsui,
Muhammad Ridla, Erika B. Laconi & Nahrowi (2018) Use of 3-nitrooxypropanol as feed additive for mitigating enteric methane emissions from ruminants: a meta-analysis, Italian Journal of Animal Science, 17:3, 650-656, DOI: 10.1080/1828051X.2017.1404945

Host



Host



Shi W, Moon CD, Leahy SC, Kang D, Froula J, Kittelmann S, et al. Methane yield phenotypes linked to differential gene expression in the sheep rumen microbiome. Genome Res. 2014;24:1517–25

Rumen microbial genes



Roehe *et al.* (2016) PLOS Genetics

Methane emissions

3970 microbial genes

20 genes explaining 81% of VAR in methane emissions

Value of Genetics (20 years)

	Available	Estimated cumulative £	Estimated cumulative GHGs
Improving carcass efficiency	NOW	↑22% profit	↓10% in CO_2eq
Improving breeding efficiency	NOW	↑35% profit	↓18% in CO_2eq
Improving feed efficiency	SOON	↑40% profit	↓26% in CO_2eq
Genomic informed improvement	NOW (for some breeds)	~↑50% profit	~↓35% in CO_2eq
Integrating new plant varieties	Some development needed	~↑55% profit ?	~↓40% in CO_2eq ??
Integrating rumen bug genetic info	Some science still needed	~↑55% profit ?	~↓50% in CO_2eq ??

Why aren't we doing it?

Farm management

Improved fertility

Improved Nutrition

Improved health

Feed additives

Improved genetics

Agro forestry

Soil carbon

Improved genetics

We are… 'EnviroCow'
https://ahdb.org.uk/news/breeding-cows-to-help-reach-net-zero

Expected to reduce CO_2e/kg FPCM by just over 1% each year

But could we go faster?

AHDB

Search

Home | Strategy 2021-2026 | Marketing | Markets and prices | Knowledge library | Tools | Events | **News** | About AHDB | Contact us

Home > News > Breeding cows to help reach net zero

Breeding cows to help reach net zero

Tuesday, 3 August 2021

Two new genetic indexes to help farmers breed more environmentally friendly cows will be launched in August.

The first, EnviroCow, reflects the important role **genetics** and breeding play in improving the environmental efficiency of milk production.

Incorporating cow lifespan, milk production, fertility and the new Feed Advantage index, EnviroCow is one of the first **genetic indexes** in the world to focus solely on breeding cows for their environmental credentials.

Marco Winters, Head Of Animal Genetics for AHDB said: "The environmental focus of EnviroCow reflects the important role cattle breeding can play in helping the farming industry reach its goal of net zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions."

Learn more about breeding and genetics

EnviroCow will be expressed on a scale of about -3 to +3, where the highest positive figures are achieved by bulls which transmit the best environmental credentials to their daughters. These will be cows which are predicted to create the least GHG emissions in their lifetimes for each kilogram of solids-corrected milk they produce.

Improved genetics

Gonzalez-Recio et al. (2021) Mitigation of greenhouse gases in dairy cattle via genetic selection: 2. Incorporating methane emissions into the breeding goal. J. Dairy Sci. 103: 7210–7221

- possible to achieve a 20% reduction in CH_4 production (kg/cow/lactation) in 10 years…
- …but at the expense of decelerating genetic gain for production traits by 6 to 18%

de Haas et al. (2021) Selective breeding as a mitigation tool for methane emissions from dairy cattle. Animal 15: 100294

- ‘By putting economic weight on CH_4 production in the breeding goal, selective breeding can reduce the CH_4 intensity by 24% by 2050’

Martinez-Alvaro et al. (2022) Bovine host genome acts on rumen microbiome function linked to methane emissions. Communications Biology 5: 350

- Microbiome-driven (indirect) genomic selection for CH_4 emissions…resulted in our small population in substantial mitigation of CH_4 (up to 17% of its mean per generation…), even larger than direct genomic selection based on the accurately measured CH_4 emissions.

Suggests that we can go faster

Feed supplements

Incentive

Proof of principle → Intellectual property → 'Sufficient' data → Regulatory pathway → Supply chain: to farm → Supply chain: to animal → Verification → Market acceptance

Proof of principle	Intellectual property	'Sufficient' data	Regulatory pathway	Supply chain: to farm	Supply chain: to animal	Verification	Market acceptance
Many positive effects reported *in vitro*	Mix of patents and closely-held secrets	Does it work? How big is the effect? Will it work for me? Interactions with the environment never fully understood Interactions between supplements poorly researched When are data 'sufficient' and who decides?	Feed Additive Feed Material	Ingredient manufacture and sourcing Interactions between active ingredients and processing (e.g., pelleting) Does the supplement fit into the feed product?	What proportion of target animals can the feed product reach? e.g., extensively-grazed hill sheep and beef	Has the supplement been consumed by the target animal? If it has, has it worked?	Farmers Direct customers Food consumers Wider society

Feed supplements



Simplistic personal opinion
Many shades of grey

SRUC

Leading the way in Agriculture and Rural Research, Education and Consulting